

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS.
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 2231

					1 4	
APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,182	182 07/15/2003		Robert S. Mantell	8655		
7	590	04/27/2004		EXAMINER		
Robert S. Mar	ntell		PHILLIPS, CHARLES E			
15 Acadia Park	: #2				D. DED 177 (DDD	
Somerville, M	A 02143		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3751		

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/620,182		MANTELL, ROBERT S.				
Office	e Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Charles E. Phillips		3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on							
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
closed in	accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Cla	ims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Paper	s							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper 5) Notice	ew Summary No(s)/Mail Da of Informal P		⁻ O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/620,182

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lirette.

See Fig. 3 where the handle 30 is connected to the seat by 32 and has pivoting at 44. The claim 2 extension is 42.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lirette, as applied supra, in view of Hylton.

Hylton provides an extension 12 on a toilet seat handle 13 in order to separate the handle portion from the seat. It would have been obvious to provide for such a locating feature in the Lirette device in order to separate its handle form the seat. The amount of separation would have been constituted an obvious design expedient depending merely on the desires of the user.

Jones shows another seat handle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles Phillips at telephone number 308-1515.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,182

Art Unit: 3751

Page 3

Phillips/DL

April 23, 2004

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner